arXiv:1408.5336v1 [math.FA] 22 Aug 2014

A random version of Simons' inequality

José M. Zapata

August 25, 2014

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to provide a random version of Simons' inequality.

Keywords: Simons' inequality, L^0-modules.

Introduction

Based on the original proof of Simons' inequality given in [3], we establish a random version of Simons' inequality, so that instead of using functions taking their values in a real interval, we deal with functions taking their values in a ball of random radius.

In the first part of this paper we establish some necessary notions, and later, we enunciate the theorem and provide the corresponding proof.

1 Preliminary notions

Given a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, which will be fixed for the rest of this paper, we consider the set $L^0(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, the set of equivalence classes of real valued $\mathcal{F}$-measurable random variables, which will be denoted simply as L^0.

It is known that the triple $\left(L^0, +, \cdot\right)$ endowed with the partial order of the almost sure dominance is a lattice ordered ring.

We say "$X \geq Y$" if $\mathbb{P}(X \geq Y) = 1$. Likewise, we say "$X > Y$", if $\mathbb{P}(X > Y) = 1$.

And, given $A \in \mathcal{F}$, we say that $X > Y$ (respectively, $X \geq Y$) on A, if $\mathbb{P}(X > Y \mid A) = 1$ (respectively , if $\mathbb{P}(X \geq Y \mid A) = 1$).

We can also define the set $\bar{L^0}$, the set of equivalence classes of $\mathcal{F}$-measurable random variables taking values in $\bar{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$, and extend the partial order of the almost sure dominance to $\bar{L^0}$.

In A.5 of [2] is proved the proposition below

Proposition 1.1. *Let ϕ be a subset of L^0, then*

1. *There exists $Y^* \in \bar{L^0}$ such that $Y^* \geq Y$ for all $Y \in \phi$, and such that any other Y' satisfying the same, verifies $Y' \geq Y^*$.*

2. *Suppose that ϕ is directed upwards. Then there exists a increasing sequence $Y_1 \leq Y_2 \leq ...$ in ϕ, such that Y_n converges to Y^* almost surely.*

Definition 1.1. *Under the conditions of the previous proposition, Y^* is called essential supremum of ϕ, and we write*

$$\text{ess. sup } \phi = \operatorname*{ess.\,sup}_{Y \in \phi} Y := Y^*$$

The essential infimum of ϕ is defined as

$$\text{ess. inf } \phi = \operatorname*{ess.\,inf}_{Y \in \phi} Y := -\operatorname*{ess.\,sup}_{Y \in \phi} (-Y)$$

Definition 1.2. *Given a sequence $\{Y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in L^0, we define the essential limit inferior (respectively, the essential limit superior) as*

$$\operatorname*{ess.\,liminf}_{n \to \infty} Y_n := \operatorname*{ess.\,sup}_{n} \operatorname*{ess.\,inf}_{m \geq n} Y_m$$

(respectively, $\operatorname*{ess.\,limsup}_{n \to \infty} Y_n := \operatorname*{ess.\,inf}_{n} \operatorname*{ess.\,sup}_{m \geq n} Y_m$*).*

Likewise, let us give a couple of definitions.

Definition 1.3. *Given $\varepsilon \in L^0_{++}$ we define*

$$B_\varepsilon := \left\{ Y \in L^0;\, |Y| \leq \varepsilon \right\}$$

the ball of radius ε centered at $0 \in L^0$.

Definition 1.4. *Given a subset S of a L^0-module E, we define the L^0-convex hull of S as the set below*

$$co_{L^0}(S) := \left\{ \sum_{i\in I} Y_i X_i;\ I \text{ finite},\ X_i \in E,\ Y_i \in L^0_+,\ \sum_{i\in I} Y_i = 1 \right\}$$

2 Random Simons' inequality

Finally we are going to introduce the random version of Simons' inequality. The proof is an adaptation of the original proof of Simons' inequality from [3].

The development is essentially the same as [3], but we must overcome the obstacle that the order of the almost sure dominance on L^0 is not a total order. This is obtained by defining piece wise random variables, or in other words, countable concatenations of L^0.

Theorem 2.1. *Let E be a set, and let $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of functions on E taking their values in B_ε for some $\varepsilon \in L^0_{++}$. Let S be a subset of E such that for every sequence $\{Y_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in L^0_{++} with $\sum_{n\geq 1} Y_n = 1$, there exists $Z \in S$ such that*

$$\operatorname*{ess.\,sup}_{X\in E} \sum_{n\geq 1} Y_n f_n(X) = \sum_{n\geq 1} Y_n f_n(Z).$$

Then

$$\operatorname*{ess.\,sup}_{X\in S} \operatorname*{ess.\,limsup}_{n\to\infty} f_n(X) \geq \operatorname*{ess.\,inf}_{f\in co_{L^0}(f_n)} \operatorname*{ess.\,sup}_{X\in E} f(X)$$

Proof. Let

$$m := \operatorname*{ess.\,inf}_{f\in co_{L^0}(f_n)} \operatorname*{ess.\,sup}_{X\in E} f(X)$$

and for $Z \in S$

$$u(Z) := \operatorname*{ess.\,limsup}_{n\to\infty} f_n(Z).$$

We wish to show that

$$\operatorname*{ess.\,sup}_{Z\in S} u(Z) \geq m. \tag{1}$$

Define $M := \operatorname*{ess.\,sup}_{n\geq 1} \operatorname*{ess.\,sup}_{X\in E} f_n$.

Then, given $\delta \in L^0_{++}$ arbitrary, we can choose $\lambda \in L^0_{++}$ with $0 < \lambda < 1$ fulfilling

$$m - \delta(1+\lambda) - M\lambda \geq (m - 2\delta)(1-\lambda). \tag{2}$$

Define $C_n := co_{L^0}\{f_n;\ p \geq n\}$. Now, using induction, we are going to prove that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $g_n \in C_n$ such that

$$\gamma_n(g_n) \leq \operatorname*{ess.inf}_{g \in C_n} \gamma_n(g) + \delta \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^n, \tag{3}$$

with

$$\gamma_n(h) := \operatorname*{ess.sup}_{Z \in S} \left(\sum_{p \leq n-1} \lambda^{p-1} g_p + \lambda^{n-1} h \right), \text{ for } h \in C_n.$$

For $n > 1$, let us considerate that we have got $g_1, g_2, ..., g_{n-1}$ fulfilling 3 for $n-1$. We are going to find an appropriate g_n.

We claim that the set

$$\{\gamma_n(h);\ h \in C_n\}$$

is downwards directed.

Indeed, given $g,\ g' \in C_n$, define $A := (\gamma_n(g) \leq \gamma_n(g'))$ and take $\hat{g} := 1_A g + 1_{A^c} g'$.

Then, $\hat{g} \in C_n$ and we have

$$1_A \gamma_n(\hat{g}) = 1_A \gamma_n(g) \text{ and}$$

$$1_{A^c} \gamma_n(\hat{g}) = 1_{A^c} \gamma_n(g').$$

Adding up both equations yields

$$\gamma_n(\hat{g}) = \gamma_n(g) \wedge \gamma_n(g').$$

Thereby, there exists a sequence $\{h_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in C_n such that $\gamma_n(h_k) \searrow \operatorname*{ess.inf}_{g \in C_n} \gamma_n(g)$.

Now, we consider the sequence of sets

$$A_0 := \phi,$$

$$A_k := \left(\gamma_n(h_k) < \operatorname*{ess.inf}_{g \in C_n} \gamma_n(g) + \delta \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^n \right) - A_{k-1} \text{ for } k > 0.$$

Thus, $\{A_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a partition of Ω and we define

$$g_n := \sum_{k \geq 1} 1_{A_k} h_k.$$

Then, $g_n \in C_n$ and for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$1_{A_k}\gamma_n(g_n) = 1_{A_k}\gamma_n(h_k) \leq 1_{A_k}\left[\operatorname*{ess.inf}_{g\in C_n}\gamma_n(g) + \delta\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^n\right]$$

and, therefore

$$\gamma_n(g_n) \leq \operatorname*{ess.inf}_{g\in C_n}\gamma_n(g) + \delta\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^n.$$

Now, since for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\frac{g_n + \lambda g_{n+1}}{1+\lambda} \in C_n,$$

and applying 3, it follows

$$\gamma_n(g_n) \leq \gamma_n\left(\frac{g_n + \lambda g_{n+1}}{1+\lambda}\right) + \delta\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^n. \tag{4}$$

Let $s_0 := 0$, $s_n := \sum_{p\leq n}\lambda^{p-1}g_p$ for $n \geq 1$, and $s := \sum_{n\geq 1}\lambda^{n-1}g_n$. Multiplying 4 by $(1+\lambda)$,

$$(1+\lambda)\operatorname*{ess.sup}_{Z\in S} s_n \leq \operatorname*{ess.sup}_{Z\in S}(\lambda s_{n-1} + s_{n+1}) + \delta(1+\lambda)\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^n$$

$$\leq \lambda\operatorname*{ess.sup}_{Z\in S} s_{n-1} + \operatorname*{ess.sup}_{Z\in S} s_{n+1} + \delta(1+\lambda)\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^n,$$

for all $n \geq 1$.

Thus,

$$\lambda^{-n}(\operatorname*{ess.sup}_{Z\in S} s_{n+1} - \operatorname*{ess.sup}_{Z\in S} s_n) \geq \lambda^{-n+1}(\operatorname*{ess.sup}_{Z\in S} s_n - \operatorname*{ess.sup}_{Z\in S} s_{n-1}) - \frac{\delta(1+\lambda)}{2^n}.$$

Since $\operatorname*{ess.sup}_{Z\in S} s_1 - \operatorname*{ess.sup}_{Z\in S} s_0 = \operatorname*{ess.sup}_{Z\in S} s_1 \geq m$, using the above inequality and induction it holds that, for all $n \geq 1$

$$\lambda^{-n+1}(\operatorname*{ess.sup}_{Z\in S} s_n - \operatorname*{ess.sup}_{Z\in S} s_{n-1}) \geq m - \delta(1+\lambda)\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} 2^{-i} \geq$$

$$\geq m - \delta(1+\lambda).$$

Hence,

$$\operatorname*{ess.sup}_{Z\in S} s - \operatorname*{ess.sup}_{Z\in S} s_{n-1} = \sum_{p\geq n}(\operatorname*{ess.sup}_{Z\in S} s_p - \operatorname*{ess.sup}_{Z\in S} s_{p-1}) \geq$$

$$\geq \sum_{p\geq n}\lambda^{p-1}[m - \delta(1+\lambda)],$$

and it leads to

$$\operatorname*{ess.\,sup}_{Z\in S} s - \operatorname*{ess.\,sup}_{Z\in S} s_{n-1} \geq \frac{\lambda^{n-1}}{1-\lambda}[m - \delta(1+\lambda)]. \tag{5}$$

Now, applying the assumption to $(1-\lambda)s$, there exists $Z_0 \in S$ such that

$$s(Z_0) = \operatorname*{ess.\,sup}_{Z\in S} s.$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\lambda^{n-1} g_n = s(Z_0) - s_{n-1}(Z_0) - \sum_{p\geq n+1} \lambda^{p-1} g_p(Z_0) \geq$$

$$\geq \operatorname*{ess.\,sup}_{Z\in S} s - \operatorname*{ess.\,sup}_{Z\in S} s_{n-1} - \sum_{p\geq n+1} \lambda^{p-1} M \geq$$

$$\overset{5}{\geq} \frac{\lambda^{n-1}}{1-\lambda}[m - \delta(1+\lambda)] - \frac{\lambda^n}{1-\lambda} M.$$

Thereby, by 2 it follows that $g_n(Z_0) \geq m - 2\delta$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Finally, since $g_n \in C_n$,

$$\operatorname*{ess.\,sup}_{m\geq n} f_m(Z_0) = \operatorname*{ess.\,sup}_{g\in C_n} g(Z_0) \geq$$

$$\geq g_n(Z_0) \geq m - 2\delta,$$

and taking essential infimum on n

$$\operatorname*{ess.\,limsup}_{n\to\infty} f_m(Z_0) = \operatorname*{ess.\,inf}_{n} \operatorname*{ess.\,sup}_{m\geq n} f_m(Z_0) \geq g_n(Z_0) \geq m - 2\delta.$$

The result follows since $\delta \in L^0_{++}$ is arbitrary.

□

References

[1] Godefroy, Gilles. *Some applications of Simons' inequality.* Serdica Mathematical Journal 26.1 (2000): 59p-78p.

[2] Schied, Alexander, and Hans Follmer. *Stochastic Finance; An Introduction in Discrete Time.* Walter De Gruyter, 2011.

[3] Simons, Stephen. *A convergence theorem with boundary.* Pacific Journal of Mathematics 40.3 (1972): 703-708.